



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner repeated his position that "non-rigid" is new matter. Examiner proposed amending claim 73 by changing "non-rigid" on line 6 to --flexible fluoropolymer-- and changing line 14 to read "holding the outer surface of the flexible fluoropolymer flow tube ...". Examiner noted that support for these changes to claim 73 is found in the original disclosure at page 3 lines 28-29, page 4 lines 31-32 and page 19 lines 27-29. Examiner also proposed deleting the remaining occurrences of "non-rigid" in claim 73 and to delete all occurrences of non-rigid in the dependent claims. Examiner commented that the prior art of record fails to suggest using a flexible fluoropolymer flow tube and inserting an adhesive in the predefined gaps and holding the outer surface of the flow tube extending between the two legs in an essentially straight configuration while the inserted adhesive cures in combination with the remaining limitations of claim 73. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes in the examiner's amendment . .